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Abstract

Background: Vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency is common in pregnancy. Globally, it is the leading cause of prenatal and neonatal maturity and morbidity. Preterm infants are particularly vulnerable to complications due to impaired respiration, difficulty in feeding, poor body temperature regulation and high risk of infection.

Aims: To assess the effectiveness of structured teaching program on knowledge regarding vitamin D deficiency related to preterm birth

Objectives: To assess the pretest knowledge regarding vitamin-D deficiency related to preterm birth, To assess the effectiveness of structure teaching program and to find out the association between knowledge score with their demographic variables

Methodology: 30 samples of 1st year B.B.Sc. nursing students. Quantitative approach with experimental design by using non probability convenient sampling.

Results: 10% of students had good level, 46.67% had very good level and 43.33% students had excellent level of knowledge score and associated with age of sample and parents education.
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Introduction

Vitamin D deficiency means that you are not getting enough vitamin D to stay healthy. Sunshine is unique because your skin actually produce it by using sunlight. Fair skin individual and those who are younger convert sunshine into vitamin D for better than those who are darker skinned and over age 50 [1].

Preterm birth is defined as babies born alive before 37 weeks of pregnancy are completed. There are sub categories of preterm birth based on gestational age is extremely preterm [less than 28 weeks], Very preterm (28 to 32 weeks) and Moderate to late preterm (32 to 37 weeks). Preterm birth also known as pre mature birth. The symptoms of preterm labor include uterine contraction which occurs more often than every 10 min and for the leaking of fluid from the vagina before 37 weeks pre mature infants are at greater risk for cerebral palsy, delays in development [2].

In 2021 research study aimed to assess the severity of respiratory distress syndrome in preterm infants who are ≤34 weeks GA, with a birth weight of ≤2 kg, and its relation to their serum blood level of vitamin D. Materials and methods: This is a multicenter study conducted at Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) and result was Vitamin D deficiency was widely prevalent among preterm neonates. Pneumothorax, surfactant doses, oxygen, and mechanical ventilation requirements were statistically significant at vitamin D deficiency [3].

Need of the study

There are disagreements among researchers about the association between vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy and preterm birth (PTB). The meta-analysis of 10 studies included 10,098 participants and found that pregnant women with vitamin D deficiency (maternal serum 25 (OH) D levels < 20 mg/mL) experienced a significantly increased risk of PTB (odds ratio (OR) = 1.29, 95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.16, 1.45) with low heterogeneity (I² = 25%, p = 0.21). Sensitivity analysis showed that exclusion of any single study did not materially alter the overall combined effect.
In conclusion, pregnant women with vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy have an increasing risk of PTB. A prospective cohort study comprising 289 pregnant women in a hospital and Participants were followed-up from weeks 10-12 of gestation to postpartum. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, parathyroid hormone, calcium, and phosphorus were measured within the first week after recruitment. Pearson's chi-square test, Mann-Whitney U test, binary and multivariable logistic regression models were used to explore associations between variables and outcomes. 36.3% of the participants were vitamin D deficient (<20 ng/mL). 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration inversely correlated with parathyroid hormone (r = -0.146, p = 0.013). Preterm birth was associated with vitamin D deficiency in the multivariable model, being this association stronger amongst women with parathyroid hormone serum levels above the 80th percentile (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 6.587, 95% CI (2.049, 21.176), p = 0.002). Calcium and phosphorus were not associated with any studied outcome. Combined measurement of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and parathyroid hormone could be a better estimator of preterm birth than vitamin D in isolation.

A randomized double-blind clinical pilot trial, including preterm infants born at 23 + 6 to 36 + 6 weeks of gestation. The control group received 400 international units (IU) of cholecalciferol daily compared to 800 IU daily in the intervention group. Levels of 25(OH) vitamin D were measured at birth and 6 and 12 months of age. Respiratory morbidity was followed until 1 year of age. Results were 50 subjects were recruited during the study period; the median measured 25(OH) vitamin D levels in the control vs intervention groups were: 26.5 vs 34 nmol/L (P = .271) at birth, 99 vs 75.5 nmol/L (P = .008) at 6 months and 72.5 vs 75 nmol/L (P = .95) at 12 months of age. Infants with insufficient vitamin D (<75 nmol/L) levels had higher respiratory morbidity. Serum vitamin 25(OH) D is a fair predictor for respiratory symptoms (area under the curve [AUC], 0.697; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.509-0.885; P = .047) and for recorded acute respiratory illnesses (AUC, 0.745; 95% CI, 0.569-0.922; P = .012).

Conclusion: Doubling the daily intake of vitamin D in premature infants did not increase serum 25(OH) vitamin D level, due to poor compliance in the intervention group. We found an inverse association between serum 25(OH) vitamin D and respiratory symptoms, indicating vitamin D deficiency is a predictor for respiratory morbidity.

Problem statement
A study to assess the effectiveness of structured teaching program on knowledge regarding vitamin D deficiency related to preterm birth among 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students in selected college of the city.

Objectives:
- To assess the pretest knowledge regarding vitamin-D deficiency related to preterm birth among 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing in selected college of the city
- To assess the effectiveness of structure teaching program on knowledge regarding vitamin-D deficiency related to preterm birth among 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing student in selected college of the city
- To find out the association between knowledge score with their demographic variables.

Hypothesis
- H0: There will be no significant difference in the knowledge score regarding Vitamin D deficiency related to preterm birth among 1st year B.B.Sc. nursing students, in selected college of the city.
- H1: There will be significant difference in the knowledge score regarding Vitamin D deficiency related to preterm birth among 1st year B.B.Sc. nursing students in selected college of the city
- H2: There will be significant association between pre-test knowledge score regarding Vitamin D deficiency related to preterm birth among 1st year B.B.Sc. nursing students, in selected college of the city with their selected demographical variable and background variable.

Delimitation
- Study is delimited to first year B.B.Sc nursing population, no other year / faculty population is involved
- This study is delimited to the student of age 21-26 only studying college of city.

Research Approach
In this study, quantitative approach was used.

Research Design
In this study pre experimental research design was used to identify, describe and explore the existing phenomenon and its related factor.

Research Setting
B.B.Sc. nursing college was the research setting for the study.

Target Population
In this study the target population selected for the study consist of 1st year Basic B.Sc. Nursing (Age: 21 to 26)

Accessible Population
The assessable population selected for the study comprises of who were available at the time of data collection and who were fulfilling the inclusion criteria.

Sample
In this study, the sample consist of 30 (1st year Basic B.Sc. nursing student) who were available during the period of data collection.

Sampling Technique
In this study non-probability convenient sampling technique is used.

Sample Size
Sample size consist of 30 samples of 1st B.B.Sc. Nursing students of selected college of the city who were available during the period of data collection were the sample for this study as mentioned in inclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria
In this study, inclusion criteria were adolescent girls who were:
- 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing student between the age 19-23
- 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing student who are willing to
participate.

- 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing student who are available during the data collection.
- 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing student who are able to read, write and speak English.

**Exclusion Criteria:**
- 2nd, 3rd and 4th B.B.Sc. nursing student
- Student who are not willing to participate

**Variables of the Study**
In experimental research studies variables are observed under natural setting as they exist, without manipulating or imposing the effect of intervention or treatment. Two types of variables are identified in the study they are demographic variables and research variables.

**Research variable**
The research variable in this study is knowledge regarding Vitamin D deficiency related to preterm birth

**Demographic variable**
The demographic variables in this study were age, gender, religion, education parents.

**Description of Tool**

**Section A- Demographic data**
It includes the variables like age, gender, religion, Education of parents.

**Section B- Structured knowledge questionnaire**
The questionnaire consist of 30 questions which were subdivided into knowledge about Introduction and definition, etiology, risk factor, causes, complications treatment nutrition and diet. Total score was 30. Each question carries 1 mark and 0 for the wrong answer.

**Table 1:** Percentage wise distribution of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students according to their demographic characteristics. n=30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Variables</th>
<th>No. of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-20 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-22 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-23 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;23 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education of parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Medical</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Significance of difference between knowledge score in pre and posttest of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing (n=30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Test</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>8.80±3.08</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Test</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Association of posttest knowledge score was with the age of sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>No. of nursing students</th>
<th>Mean posttest knowledge score</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-20 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.40±0</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-22 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.87±0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-23 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;23 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0±0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Association of post test knowledge score was with education of parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent’s Education</th>
<th>No. of nursing students</th>
<th>Mean posttest knowledge score</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26±0</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Medical</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23.03±3.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major findings**

- Pretest findings were 30% of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students had average level of knowledge score, 53.33% of them had good and 16.67% of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students had excellent level of knowledge score.
- Posttest findings were 10% of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students had good level of knowledge score, 46.67% had very good and 43.33% of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students had excellent level of knowledge score
- Association of posttest knowledge score was with age of sample and education of parents
Conclusion
This study concluded that 30% of 1st year Basic B.Sc. Nursing students had average level of knowledge score, 53.33% of them had good and 16.67% of 1st year B.B.Sc. Nursing students had excellent level of knowledge score.
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